



Faculty Business Committee

April 19, 2022

12:30-1:20pm

via Zoom

<https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/91788916199>

This meeting is being conducted virtually pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e)(1)(A) and the Memorandum from Napa County Executive Officer Minh C. Tran and Public Health Officer Karen Relucio, M.D., dated September 27, 2021 regarding Recommendation for Continued Remote Attendance at Brown Act meetings.

MINUTES

1. Welcome (1 min)

2. Announcements and Public Comment (2 min)

DE survey is still open. Looking for feedback on what support technology faculty want to keep.

3. Adoption of Agenda (1 min)

M/S/C

4. Approval of Minutes (1 min)

4.1. Minutes from March 29, 2022

M/S/C

5. Action Items (10 min)

5.1 Emeritus Applications – McGowan

+Attachments

Committee will vote to recommend emeritus status for spring 2022 applications

Three applications from faculty for emeritus status.

March 15 deadline is to ensure applications will come to FBC, then senate, then BOT in time for their May meeting.

The SERP issue has created problems with people's timelines due to late decisions.

If deadline for May BOT is missed, we should continue to accept applications and approve them at committee and senate. The BOT can decide in the fall if they want to finalize them in the fall or wait until later.

Recommendation: to continue to accept applications through the Spring semester and address them at the first meeting in Fall 2022.

Will emeritus instructors have continued access to Canvas courses?

Instructors should copy their courses into free Canvas to be on the safe side. Canvas online learning forum has a tutorial about saving courses.

M/S/C

6. Future Items

8.1 Emeritus Procedure

The committee should discuss the deadline issue in the fall.

8.2 Course Cap Policy update

Multiple committees (committee chairs have met) to work on guidelines for course caps.

8.3 Administrative Procedure: Accreditation

A draft AP 3200 was approved by the Academic Senate in September 2020. It went out for transmittal and has been on hold in the President's Office. This spring, a different AP was created from the president's office. The new draft removed and changed language from the Senate's recommendation related to faculty roles in accreditation, which, as 10+1, requires collegial consultation. The Interim President published his AP to Board Manual without collegial consultation.

Collegial consultation is spelled out in BP 2511, laying out how the four constituent groups participate in providing input. It also defined mutual agreement. The BP was serving as a placeholder while the new AP 2510 was being developed. This semester, the President's Office removed BP 2511, saying that it was posted in error. It was not replaced.

Next steps are unclear. The Interim President is not following any established approval pathway or process, nor consulting collegially with the Academic Senate.

APs are implementation of board policies, which are handled at the level of the president's office. The development of those procedures that are 10+1 are supposed to be done in consultation with the Academic Senate.

Could the administration start using the new AP any time they want? That is likely.

7. Adjourn