



## Faculty Business Committee

September 20, 2022

12:30-1:20pm

via Zoom

<https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/91788916199>

This meeting is being conducted virtually pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e)(1)(A) and the Memorandum from Napa County Executive Officer Minh C. Tran and Public Health Officer Karen Relucio, M.D., dated September 27, 2021 regarding Recommendation for Continued Remote Attendance at Brown Act meetings.

Members present: Jim McGowan, John Greyson Anderson, Elizabeth Lara-Medrano, May Jong, Antonio Castro, Scott Rose, Christy Kling, Jennifer Aguayo,

### Minutes

**1. Welcome and Call to Order (12:30pm)**

- Meeting called to order at 12:35 pm

**2. Adoption of the Agenda (1min)**

- M/S/C (5 ayes)

**3. Approval of Minutes from April 19, 2022 (1 min)**  
+Attachment

- M/S/C (3 ayes, 2 abstentions)

**4. General Announcements (2 min)**

- None

**5. Public Comment (2 min)**

- None

**6. Information Item (2 min)**

**6.1. New FBC Members**

Chair will welcome new members Dave Ellingson, Iris Dunkle, Jennifer King, Scott Rose, and Elizabeth Lara-Medrano

- Introductions and welcome to new members.

**7. Action Item (2 min)**

**7.1. AB 361 Conditions for Virtual Meetings**

The committee will acknowledge that AB 361 conditions have been met. This item must be placed on the agenda to be reviewed every 30 days.

- M/S/C (5 ayes)

**8. Discussion Item (40 min)**

**8.1. Committee Charge and Plans for the Academic Year – McGowan**

Committee will discuss the charge and plans of the committee and current status of collegial consultation at NVC

- Reviewed Faculty Business Committee webpage, 10+1, and charge of committee.
- DE was removed from this committee's charge and placed into the Educational Technology Committee which is now chaired by Christine Pruitt.
- Plan for more policy and procedure work for this committee.
- Question raised: Is accreditation aware that our 10+1 recommendations are not going anywhere?
- Yes, in the ISER they already saw the potential problems. Now we are answering questions about them. We have provided the visiting team with materials to show that the Academic Senate has been doing its part. The ACCJC will determine whether the institution is meeting the standards. They will determine the problems and give us a deadline to fit them.
- 70 policies/procedures were posted by the President's Office without consulting the Academic Senate, some of which were 10+1. At this point, we can either demand that they be removed, or we review them and send them back with changes. Committee chair recommends reviewing them and having this committee assist with this.
- Questions raised: Is the AS Executive Committee upset that this happened because they are offended that the process wasn't followed, and they weren't consulted? Or are they upset because the substance of the language is not accurate?
- This is still to be determined.
- This committee isn't positioned to determine if the laws were violated but we can determine if the language in the policies and procedures is accurate.
- Question raised: How many policies/procedures will we try to tackle? Likely, half a dozen.

- Email updates to come.

**9. Adjourn**

- Motion to adjourn at 1:23 pm